

## **Evidence of hand hygiene to reduce transmission and infections by multi-drug resistant organisms in health-care settings**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Infections by multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) are increasing worldwide (1). Prevention of spread and control of MDROs in health-care settings are critical and urgent as the number of antibiotics available to treat these infections is extremely limited and development of new antibiotics is not forthcoming in the foreseeable future. Worldwide, the most common bacteria causing health-care associated infections (HAIs) are:

- **MRSA**   Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
- **VRE**    Vancomycin resistant *Enterococci* spp.
- **ESBL**   Extended-spectrum beta ( $\beta$ )-lactamase gram-negative organisms
- **CRE**    Carbapenems resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*
- **MRAB**   Multi-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*

The emergence of resistance in these microorganisms has mainly been caused by an inappropriate use of antibiotics in general and use of broad spectrum antibiotics in particular. In addition the spread of MDROs in health-care settings is common and occurs mostly via health-care workers' (HCWs) contaminated hands, contaminated items/equipment and environment often leading to outbreaks and serious infections especially in critically ill patients. Therefore, implementation of standard precautions for *all* patients *all* the time is key to preventing spread of all microorganisms and MDROs in particular. Hand hygiene performance according to recommendations (2) is the most important measure among standard precautions.

### **SUMMARY RESULTS OF A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW**

Through a systematic literature review from January 1980 to December 2013 conducted using Medline, the WHO Clean Care is Safer Care team has evaluated the available evidence about the impact of hand hygiene improvement interventions to reduce transmission and/or infections by MDROs'.

The review primarily focused on studies where hand hygiene was the key intervention implemented in the study period and hand hygiene indicators (hand hygiene compliance and/or alcohol-based hand rub (ABHR) products consumption) were measured along with MDRO infection and/or transmission rates. The review identified 39 papers with these characteristics. Some relevant and higher quality papers were selected and summarized (see Table). Three non-systematic reviews also discussed this topic in the context of the role of hand hygiene to reduce HAIs (3-5). A further 60 papers included major hand hygiene interventions but in the context of a broader infection control programme or implementation of other measures aimed at reducing antimicrobial resistance (AMR).



Most of the published studies were “before and after” intervention studies (17/39); a limited number of randomized controlled trials (2/39) was available. In addition, a number of studies investigated the temporal association between hand hygiene indicators and MDRO infection trends (12/39) and some estimated the impact of hand hygiene interventions by applying mathematical models (4/39). The great majority of these papers offer convincing evidence that improved hand hygiene practices lead to a reduction of HAIs and/or transmission or colonization by MDROs. Four papers failed to demonstrate an impact of hand hygiene interventions or improvement in the MDRO’s infection or colonization. However, one of these studies did not show any significant improvement of hand hygiene compliance (6) thus explaining the failure to reduce infections, while another study was a low/quality retrospective study (7). One cluster randomized controlled trials did not show any reduction in MRSA infection and colonization rate in the intervention compared to the control wards (8).

Pittet *et al* published the first landmark study using a multifaceted and multidisciplinary hand hygiene promotion strategy and showed significant and sustained hospital-wide compliance improvement associated with reduction of overall HAI prevalence and MRSA cross-transmission (9). The same approach of a multimodal culture-change campaign was adopted at state level in Victoria (Australia) and then at national level leading to significant sustained reductions of MRSA bacteremia and clinical MRSA isolates (10, 11).

Overall, in most studies, the intervention was based on a multimodal strategy including the introduction of ABHRs or an improvement of their location and provision, hand hygiene observation and performance feedback, HCWs education, use of reminders and various methods of communication (posters, memos, poster-board communications, internal marketing campaign, etc.). It is important to highlight that most of the studies reported the implementation of such a strategy hospital-wide, and many were multicentre and even rolled out nationally. One cluster randomized study demonstrated significant reduction of MRSA infections in 18 long-term facilities, although the follow-up was short (four months) (12).

Only a handful of studies evaluated the interesting question concerning the levels of hand hygiene compliance or of the relative increase to observe MDRO rates reduce. A study by Song and colleagues showed that when hand hygiene compliance increased from poor (<60%) to excellent (90%), each level of improvement was associated with a 24% reduction in the risk of MRSA acquisition. This risk decreased significantly (by 48%) with hand hygiene compliance levels above 80%. Two additional clinical studies supported this data, showing lower incidence rates of MRSA (13), resistant *E. coli* and carbapenem resistant *P. aeruginosa* (14) in wards achieving compliance levels higher than 70% and the greatest degree of compliance increase.

Through time series analysis and other methods, a number of papers including a review with data pooling (3), reported a temporal association or correlation between increasing consumption of ABHR and decreasing MRSA infection or isolation rates. This effect was also reported for ESBL-producing Gram negative bacteria (15) and carbapenem resistant *P. aeruginosa* (16). In particular, two papers from Australia and England described this

association in the context of national hand hygiene campaigns (10, 17) with reductions of MRSA or *S. aureus* bacteremia nationwide.

Interestingly, some of these studies reported cost and cost-benefit data. According to Chen and colleagues (18) every US\$1 spent on hand hygiene promotion could result in a US\$ 23.7 benefit. Similarly, Pittet et al reported that the total cost of hand hygiene promotion corresponded to less than 1% of the costs associated with nosocomial infections (19). In another study by Carboneau and colleagues (20), the overall prevention of 41 MRSA infections resulted in a gross saving of US\$ 354 276 with a net hard dollar saving of US\$ 276 500. According to a stochastic mathematical model, a 200-bed hospital incurs US\$ 1 779 283 in annual MRSA infection-related expenses attributable to hand hygiene noncompliance; in this setting the model estimated that a 1% increase in hand hygiene compliance would result in annual savings of US\$ 39 650 (21).

### **GAPS AND RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES**

While bringing important information about the actual role of hand hygiene improvement in reducing the spread and infection by MDROs in healthcare, this review also identified some gaps and key areas where more research is needed. For example, the great majority of studies were conducted in high-income countries. Good quality surveillance data on AMR and the feasibility and impact of interventions based on hand hygiene promotion compliance are urgently needed from low- to- middle income countries. In addition, the study settings were hospitals apart from one study conducted in long term health care facilities. Given that AMR is a cross-cutting problem affecting all health-care settings and the community, it is important to acquire evidence from these settings too. Finally, most papers focused on the role of hand hygiene in preventing and controlling MRSA, while other MDROs such as VRE, ESBL-producing Gram negatives, CRE were rarely included as an outcome. We are aware that strategies to combat the spread of these microorganisms are more complex and comprehensive, but hand hygiene remains a cornerstone.

Patient education was included in only one study (22); the role of patients and the civil society in combating AMR is crucial at different levels and hand hygiene is one simple yet key measure that can be practiced and advocated for by them.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

Studies where hand hygiene was used as the main intervention and a significant improvement in hand hygiene compliance and/or increased ABHR consumption were achieved, demonstrated substantial decrease of MDROs' infections and/or colonization rates, mainly for MRSA.

To be successful, these interventions need to be multimodal and sustained over time in the context of an improved patient safety climate; in addition, particular attention should be paid to embed hand hygiene in the care flow and within best practices for specific procedures. Finally, combating AMR spread and infections involves the implementation of other specific prevention and control measures too.

**Table:** Key studies assessing the effect of hand hygiene interventions on MDROs' transmission and/or infection

Year Country	Setting	Effect on hand hygiene compliance and/or consumption of alcohol-based handrubs (ABHR)	Impact on MDROs'	Reference
2000 Switzerland	Hospital-wide	Significant increase in HH compliance from 48% to 66%. Increased consumption of ABHR from 3.5 to 15.4 L/1000 patient-days	Significant reduction in the annual overall prevalence of HAI (42%) and <b>MRSA</b> * cross-transmission rates (87%). Continuous increase in ABHR use, stable HAI rates and cost savings, in a follow-up study	Pittet D et al (9)
2008 Australia	1: 6 pilot hospitals 2: all public hospitals in Victoria (Australia)	1) Increase of HH compliance 21% to 48% . Increased consumption of ABHR from 5.3 to 27.6 L/ 1000 bed-days  2) Increase of HH compliance from 20% to 53%. Mean ABHR supply increased from 6.0 to 20.9 L/1000 bed-days	1) Significant reduction of <b>MRSA</b> bacteremia (from 0.05/1000 to 0.02/1000 pt-discharges per month) and of clinical MRSA isolates  2) Significant reduction of <b>MRSA</b> bacteremia (from 0.03/1000 to 0.01/1000 pt-discharges per month) and of clinical MRSA isolates	Grayson ML et al (11)
2009 USA	Hospital-wide 7 acute care facilities	Significant increase of HH compliance from 49% to 98% with sustained rates greater than 90%	Significant reduction of <b>MRSA</b> rates from 0.52 to 0.24 episodes/1000 patient days	Lederer JW et al (23)
2010 USA	2 acute hospitals	Significant increase of HH compliance from 65% to 82%	51% decrease in hospital-acquired <b>MRSA</b> cases during the 12-month*	Carboneau C et al (20)
2010 Canada	3 tertiary care hospitals	Significant difference of HH compliance between the intervention group (48.2 %) and the control group (42.6%)	No reduction in <b>MRSA</b> colonization. Intervention group: 48.2%; control group: 42.6%; intervention group: 0.73 cases per 1,000 patient-days, mean in control group, 0.66 cases per 1,000 patient-days (statistically insignificant)	Mertz D et al (8)
2011 Taiwan	Hospital-wide	Significant increase of HH compliance from 43.3% to 95.6%.	8.9% decrease in HAIs and a decline in the BSI caused by <b>MRSA</b> and <b>extensively drug-resistant <i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i></b> *  Every US\$1 spent on HH could result in a US\$23.7 benefit	Chen Y-C et al (18)

Year Country	Setting	Effect on hand hygiene compliance and/or consumption of alcohol-based handrubs (ABHR)	Impact on MDROs'	Reference
2011 Australia	Nationwide (521 hospitals)	In sites not previously exposed to the campaign, increase of HH compliance went from 43.6% to 67.8%	Significant reduction of overall <b>MRSA</b> BSI (from 0.49 to 0.3497 per 10,000 patients-days) but not of hospital-onset <b>MRSA</b> BSI	Grayson ML et al (10)
2012 Hong Kong (China)	18 LTCFs (4 months)	Significant increase of HH compliance in intervention arms (27% to 61% and 22% to 49%)  The proportions of ABHR usage among compliant actions increased from 33.9% - 53.2% to 90.3% - 94.6%	Significant decrease of respiratory outbreaks (IRR, 0.12; 95% CI, 0.01–0.93) and <b>MRSA</b> infections requiring hospital admission (IRR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.38–0.97)	Ho M et al (12)
2013 Saudi Arabia	Hospital-wide	Significant increase of HH compliance from 38% in 2006 to 83% in 2011  Significant increase in ABHR consumption over time from 10.3 to 57.3 L/1,000 patient-days.	Significant reduction of <b>MRSA</b> infections (from 0.42 to 0.08), VAP (from 6.1 to 0.8), CLA-BSI (from 8.2 to 4.8), catheter-associated UTI (from 7.1 to 3.5)	Al-Tawfiq AA et al (24)
2013 Spain	Hospital-wide	Significant HH compliance increase from 57% to 85%	Significant reduction of <b>MRSA</b> infections/colonization/10 000 pt-days*	Mestre G et al (25)
2013 Serbia, France, Spain, Italy, Greece, Scotland, Israel, Germany & Switzerland	Multicenter (33 surgical wards of 10 hospitals)	HH compliance improved in all centres with overall compliance increase from 49.3% to 63.8%	Immediate non-significant increase in nosocomial <b>MRSA</b> isolation rate (aIRR 1.44, 95% CI 0.96 to 2.15) with no change in the trend in rates over time in the HH arm of the study.  Enhanced HH promotion alone was not associated with changes in <b>MRSA</b> infection rates.	Lee AS et al (26)

ABHR, alcohol-based handrub; BSI, bloodstream infection; CLA-BSI, central line-associated BSI; HAI, healthcare-associated infection; HH, hand hygiene; ICU, intensive care unit; LTCFs, long-term care facilities; **MRSA**, methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; NA, not available; UTI, urinary tract infection; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

\*Statistics not reported

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. The evolving threat of antimicrobial resistance : options for action.
2. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on hand hygiene in health care : first global patient safety challenge : clean care is safer care. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, Patient Safety; 2009.
3. Sroka S, Gastmeier P, Meyer E. Impact of alcohol hand-rub use on methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an analysis of the literature. *J Hosp Infect.* 2010 Mar;74(3):204-11.
4. Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Role of hand hygiene in healthcare-associated infection prevention. *J Hosp Infect.* 2009 Dec;73(4):305-15.
5. Stewardson A, Allegranzi B, Sax H, Kilpatrick C, Pittet D. Back to the future: rising to the Semmelweis challenge in hand hygiene. *Future Microbiol.* 2011 Aug;6(8):855-76.
6. Borges LF, Rocha LA, Nunes MJ, Gontijo Filho PP. Low compliance to handwashing program and high nosocomial infection in a Brazilian hospital. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis.* 2012;2012:579681.
7. Zahar JR, Masse V, Watier L, Lanternier F, Degand N, Postaire M, et al. Is hand-rub consumption correlated with hand hygiene and rate of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (ESBL-PE)-acquired infections? *J Hosp Infect.* 2012 Apr;80(4):348-50.
8. Mertz D, Dafoe N, Walter SD, Brazil K, Loeb M. Effect of a multifaceted intervention on adherence to hand hygiene among healthcare workers: a cluster-randomized trial. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2010 Nov;31(11):1170-6.
9. Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mourouga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, et al. Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. *Infection Control Programme.* *Lancet.* 2000 Oct 14;356(9238):1307-12.
10. Grayson ML, Russo PL, Cruickshank M, Bear JL, Gee CA, Hughes CF, et al. Outcomes from the first 2 years of the Australian National Hand Hygiene Initiative. *Med J Aust.* 2011 Nov 21;195(10):615-9.
11. Grayson ML, Jarvie LJ, Martin R, Johnson PD, Jodoin ME, McMullan C, et al. Significant reductions in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia and clinical isolates associated with a multisite, hand hygiene culture-change program and subsequent successful statewide roll-out. *Med J Aust.* 2008 Jun 2;188(11):633-40.
12. Ho ML, Seto WH, Wong LC, Wong TY. Effectiveness of multifaceted hand hygiene interventions in long-term care facilities in Hong Kong: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2012 Aug;33(8):761-7.
13. Girou E, Legrand P, Soing-Altrach S, Lemire A, Poulain C, Allaire A, et al. Association between hand hygiene compliance and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* prevalence in a French rehabilitation hospital. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2006 Oct;27(10):1128-30.
14. Trick WE, Vernon MO, Welbel SF, Demarais P, Hayden MK, Weinstein RA, et al. Multicenter intervention program to increase adherence to hand hygiene recommendations and glove use and to reduce the incidence of antimicrobial resistance. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2007 Jan;28(1):42-9.
15. Kaier K, Frank U, Hagist C, Conrad A, Meyer E. The impact of antimicrobial drug consumption and alcohol-based hand rub use on the emergence and spread of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing strains: a time-series analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2009 Mar;63(3):609-14.



16. Pires dos Santos R, Jacoby T, Pires Machado D, Lisboa T, Gastal SL, Nagel FM, et al. Hand hygiene, and not ertapenem use, contributed to reduction of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* rates. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2011 Jun;32(6):584-90.
17. Stone SP, Fuller C, Savage J, Cookson B, Hayward A, Cooper B, et al. Evaluation of the national Cleanyourhands campaign to reduce *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia and *Clostridium difficile* infection in hospitals in England and Wales by improved hand hygiene: four year, prospective, ecological, interrupted time series study. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e3005.
18. Chen YC, Sheng WH, Wang JT, Chang SC, Lin HC, Tien KL, et al. Effectiveness and limitations of hand hygiene promotion on decreasing healthcare-associated infections. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(11):e27163.
19. Pittet D, Sax H, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S. Cost implications of successful hand hygiene promotion. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2004 Mar;25(3):264-6.
20. Carboneau C, Benge E, Jaco MT, Robinson M. A lean Six Sigma team increases hand hygiene compliance and reduces hospital-acquired MRSA infections by 51%. *J Healthc Qual*. 2010 Jul-Aug;32(4):61-70.
21. Cummings KL, Anderson DJ, Kaye KS. Hand hygiene noncompliance and the cost of hospital-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2010 Apr;31(4):357-64.
22. Lee YT, Chen SC, Lee MC, Hung HC, Huang HJ, Lin HC, et al. Time-series analysis of the relationship of antimicrobial use and hand hygiene promotion with the incidence of healthcare-associated infections. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)*. 2012 Jun;65(6):311-6.
23. Lederer JW, Jr., Best D, Hendrix V. A comprehensive hand hygiene approach to reducing MRSA health care-associated infections. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf*. 2009 Apr;35(4):180-5.
24. Al-Tawfiq JA, Abed MS, Al-Yami N, Birrer RB. Promoting and sustaining a hospital-wide, multifaceted hand hygiene program resulted in significant reduction in health care-associated infections. *Am J Infect Control*. 2013 Jun;41(6):482-6.
25. Mestre G, Berbel C, Tortajada P, Alarcia M, Coca R, Gallemi G, et al. "The 3/3 strategy": a successful multifaceted hospital wide hand hygiene intervention based on WHO and continuous quality improvement methodology. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e47200.
26. Lee AS, Cooper BS, Malhotra-Kumar S, Chalfine A, Daikos GL, Fankhauser C, et al. Comparison of strategies to reduce methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* rates in surgical patients: a controlled multicentre intervention trial. *BMJ Open*. 2013;3(9):e003126.